MEETING of the Energy Planning & Resources Committee
of the Clean Power Alliance of Southern California

Wednesday, September 23, 2020
12:15 p.m.

Listen to the Energy Committee meeting (Audio Only):
Call: (415) 655-0060 Conference Code: 315-838-133
All Participants must press “#” to join the meeting.

SPECIAL NOTICE REGARDING PUBLIC COMMENT: Pursuant to Paragraph 11 of
Executive Order N-29-20, executed by the Governor of California on March 17, 2020, and as a response
to mitigating the spread of Coronavirus known as COVID-19, the Energy Planning & Resources
Committee will allow members of the public to participate and address Committee Members during the
meeting via teleconference only. Below are the ways to participate:

• Members of the public are encouraged to submit written comments on any agenda item
to clerk@cleanpoweralliance.org up to four hours before the meeting.
• If you desire to provide public comment during the meeting, you must contact staff at (213) 713-5995 at the beginning of the meeting but no later than immediately before the agenda item is called.
  • You will be asked to provide a phone number to call you during the meeting. You will also
    be asked for your name (or other identifying information) similar to filling out a speaker card
    so that you can be called when it is your turn to speak.
  • You will be called during the comment section for the agenda item on which you wish to
    speak.
  • You may be put on hold until your name is called by CPA staff.
  • You will be able to speak to the Committee for the allotted amount of time. Please be
    advised that all public comments must otherwise comply with our Public Comment Policy.
• Once you have spoken, or the allotted time has run out, the phone call will be discontinued.

Meetings are accessible to people with disabilities. Individuals who need special assistance or a disability-
related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, or who have a disability and wish to
request an alternative format for the meeting materials, should contact the Clerk of the Board at
clerk@cleanpoweralliance.org or (213) 713-5995. Notification in advance of the meeting will enable us to
make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting and the materials related to it.
PUBLIC COMMENT POLICY: The General Public Comment item is reserved for persons wishing to address the Committee on any Clean Power Alliance-related matters not on today’s agenda. Public comments on matters on today’s Consent Agenda and Regular Agenda shall be heard at the time the matter is called. Comments on items on the Consent Agenda are consolidated into one public comment period. As with all public comment, members of the public who wish to address the Committee are requested to complete a speaker’s slip and provide it to Clean Power Alliance staff at the beginning of the meeting but no later than immediately prior to the time an agenda item is called.

Each speaker is customarily limited to two (2) minutes (in whole minute increments) per agenda item with a cumulative total of five (5) minutes to be allocated between the General Public Comment, the entire Consent Agenda, or individual items in the Regular Agenda. Please refer to Clean Power Alliance Policy No. 8 – Public Comments for more information.

CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

CONSENT AGENDA

1. Approve Minutes from August 26, 2020 Energy Committee Meeting
2. Receive and File August 2020 Risk Management Team Report

REGULAR AGENDA

3. 2020 Clean Energy RFO Update

COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

ADJOURN – NEXT MEETING OCTOBER 28, 2020

Public Records: Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a Committee Meeting are available for public inspection. Those records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to the meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all, or a majority of, the members of the Committee. Those documents are available for inspection online at www.cleanpoweralliance.org/agendas.
MEETING of the Energy Planning & Resources Committee of the Clean Power Alliance of Southern California
Wednesday, August 26, 2020, 12:15 p.m.

MINUTES
The Energy Planning & Resources Committee conducted this meeting in accordance with California Governor Newsom’s Executive Order N-29-20 and COVID-19 pandemic protocols.

CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL
Committee Chair McKeown called the meeting to order at 12:15 p.m. and Clerk of the Board, Gabriela Monzon, conducted roll call.

ROLL CALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arcadia</td>
<td>Tom Tait</td>
<td>Committee Member</td>
<td>Remote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson</td>
<td>Reata Kulcsar</td>
<td>Committee Member</td>
<td>Remote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malibu</td>
<td>Skylar Peak</td>
<td>Committee Member</td>
<td>Remote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxnard</td>
<td>Carmen Ramirez</td>
<td>Committee Member</td>
<td>Remote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Monica</td>
<td>Kevin McKeown</td>
<td>Committee Chair</td>
<td>Remote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Madre</td>
<td>John Capoccia</td>
<td>Committee Member</td>
<td>Remote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thousand Oaks</td>
<td>Helen Cox</td>
<td>Committee Member</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All votes are unanimous, unless otherwise stated.

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT
There was no public comment.

CONSENT AGENDA
1. Approve Minutes from June 24, 2020 Energy Committee Meeting
2. Receive and File June and July 2020 Risk Management Team Report

Motion: Committee Member Ramirez, Oxnard
Second: Committee Member Tait, Arcadia
Vote: The consent agenda was approved by a roll call vote.
REGULAR AGENDA

3. Oral Update form Staff on CPA Operations

Ted Bardacke, Executive Director, provided a brief update on the state-wide rotating outages affecting CPA. Matt Langer, Chief Operating Officer, discussed CPA's internal net position and energy pricing during evening peak hours and pointed out that the financial outcome was decent, given the organization's hedging strategy. Mr. Langer noted that on average, CPA paid more for energy, but millions of dollars less than it would have if it had not implemented a hedging strategy.

Chair McKeown asked how the outages will inform any modification of the hedging strategy and if staff had a response for those questioning the reliability of renewable energy. Committee Member Capoccia similarly asked if staff identified any vulnerability that may affect the hedge strategy and if TOU rates could be used during peak hours to mitigate the use of energy. Mr. Langer explained that staff was not considering any significant modifications, as the hedge strategy had been effective during the outages; clarified that CPA's Demand Response and Peak Management Pricing optional programs aimed to encourage customers to conserve energy during peak times by way of charging premiums for energy during those peak hours; and lastly, clarified that there was no monocausal explanation for the outages but staff was prepared to speak in favor of renewable energy if necessary. Natasha Keefer, Director of Power Planning and Procurement, added that CPA's hedging strategy would change over time as it entered long-term renewable energy contracts into the portfolio, and particularly, energy storage is a resource that could mitigate the effects of volatile pricing in the future.

Committee Member Ramirez expressed concern for any publicity questioning the efficacy of renewable energy.

4. Approve the 2020 Integrated Resource Plan for Submission to the California Public Utilities Commission as Presented or in a Substantially Similar Form

Natasha Keefer, Director of Power Planning and Procurement, provided an overview of the item and summarized the conforming IRP plan requirements, noting that each of the Load Serving Entities (LSEs) were required to meet statewide targets of the 46 million metric ton (MMT) statewide greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2030 and were allowed to submit a 38 MMT conforming plan. Ms. Keefer stated that CPA intended to present the 38 MMT conforming plan as the preferred case on the IRP narrative because it most closely reflected CPA's procurement objectives and directives from the Board. Ms. Keefer reviewed the modeling results and elaborated on the Request for Information (RFI) issued jointly by several CCAs for long-duration storage resources and based on those results, CPA was unwilling to commit to procurement of long-duration storage in 2026, but will ensure the 2020 Clean Energy RFO is inclusive of
long-duration storage resources. Lastly, Ms. Keefer discussed the cost impacts of both conforming plans, noting that the 38 MMT plan offered a higher reduction in GHG reductions with a minimal increase in cost; and reviewed the system reliability results, ultimately indicating that the analysis did not identify any system reliability or systemic supply/demand balance insufficiencies.

Chair McKeown asked how the 46 MMT may have compromised some of CPA’s internal policy goals and Committee Member Ramirez asked for information on other CCA’s IRP submissions. Ms. Keefer explained that the cases within the conforming plans looked largely similar because they were both based on CPA’s internal targets but that the 46 MMT case had fewer new renewables in the later years of the IRP; and clarified that the participating CCAs decided to work together to ensure all their respective IRP plans were accepted by the CPUC, however, each CCA’s portfolio differed, reflecting their diverse policy objectives.

In response to Committee Member Capoccia’s question, Ms. Keefer noted that staff did not conduct a detailed rate analysis, but indicated that as the Board approves long-term contracts, CPA will transition away from short-term contracts, which will lower costs and reduce upward pressure on rates.

Community Advisory Committee Member Steve Nash asked if CPA was privy to the U.S. Navy’s concerns about energy infrastructure compromising missions. Mr. Bardacke clarified that the Navy has steered away from California, and although offshore wind has been successful elsewhere, permitting, and technological challenges still pose a barrier.

Committee Members thanked staff for their preparation of the 2020 IRP; Committee Member Ramirez added that there should be more publicity about the work CPA is doing to deal with climate change and meet renewable energy goals. CPA Board Chair Diana Mahmud requested that the IRP Narrative be shared with the full CPA Board of Directors.

Motion: Committee Member Tait, Arcadia  
Second: Committee Member Ramirez, Oxnard  
Vote: Item 4 was approved by a roll call vote.

COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

Committee Members Ramirez expressed support for California Governor’s statement to address oil drilling and fracking within the state.

ADJOURN
Chair McKeown adjourned the meeting at 1:32 p.m.
Staff Report – Agenda Item 2

To: Clean Power Alliance (CPA) Energy Planning & Resources Committee
From: Matthew Langer, Chief Operating Officer
Approved by: Ted Bardacke, Executive Director
Subject: Risk Management Team Report
Date: September 23, 2020

AUGUST 2020 RMT REPORT
Key Actions
- Reviewed short-term energy position for balance of month September through December and approved energy hedge solicitations.
- Reviewed long-term energy position and approved energy hedge solicitations for 2021 – 2022.
- Reviewed renewable energy and carbon free positions and approved a solicitation for PCC1 and PCC2 renewable energy products for 2020-2023.
- Reviewed Resource Adequacy (RA) position and discussed compliance strategy.

Policy Compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Deviation</th>
<th>Required Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prompt 1-4 Quarters Minimum Hedge Percentage was not met.</td>
<td>Increase monthly hedge amounts for 2021 to catch up to quarterly hedge targets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ATTACHMENT
None.
To: Clean Power Alliance (CPA) Energy Planning & Resources Committee

From: Natasha Keefer, Director of Power Planning & Procurement

Approved by: Ted Bardacke, Executive Director

Subject: 2020 Clean Energy RFO Update

Date: September 23, 2020

RECOMMENDATION
Discuss and provide input.

ATTACHMENT
1) 2020 Clean Energy RFO Presentation
2020 Clean Energy RFO

September 23, 2020
Agenda

• Current contracting status

• Procurement considerations and targets

• 2020 Clean Energy RFO proposed process

• Other upcoming long-term procurement activities
## CPA Current Long-Term Portfolio

### Number of Projects by Technology

- Wind 2 (17%)
- Solar plus Storage 4 (33%)
- Storage 2 (17%)
- Small Hydro 2 (17%)
- Solar 2 (17%)

### Generation MW by Technology

- Solar plus Storage 525 (46%)
- Solar 273 (24%)
- Small Hydro 32 (3%)

### Total Projects: 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Counterparty</th>
<th>Gen MW</th>
<th>Storage MW</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Facility Location (City)</th>
<th>Contract Start Date</th>
<th>Term Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voyager Wind II</td>
<td>Terra-Gen LLC</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Windhub Substation near Mojave</td>
<td>1/1/2019</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaweah</td>
<td>Kaweah River Power Authority</td>
<td>20.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small Hydro</td>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td>6/16/2020</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isabella Partners</td>
<td>Isabella Partners</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small Hydro</td>
<td>Isabella Lake</td>
<td>12/8/2020</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohave/White Hills</td>
<td>NextEra</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Mohave County</td>
<td>12/31/2020</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Fields Solar III</td>
<td>Clearway Renew LLC</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>Rosamond</td>
<td>3/31/2021</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luna Storage</td>
<td>sPower</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>Lancaster</td>
<td>7/31/2021</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Desert</td>
<td>Middle River Power</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Solar plus Storage</td>
<td>Victorville</td>
<td>8/1/2021</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn</td>
<td>Terra-Gen LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>Kern County</td>
<td>8/1/2021</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Energy Center II</td>
<td>NextEra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>Blythe</td>
<td>12/31/2021</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azalea</td>
<td>Solar Frontier Americas</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Solar plus Storage</td>
<td>Kern County</td>
<td>12/31/2022</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rexford</td>
<td>8 minute Energy</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Solar plus Storage</td>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td>10/1/2023</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalan</td>
<td>Origis Energy</td>
<td>64.90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Solar plus Storage</td>
<td>Kern County</td>
<td>12/31/2023</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of 2019 RFOs

• CPA launched its second Clean Energy RFO in October 2019, with the goal of contracting 1-2 million MWh of renewable energy.

• CPA requested offers from renewable and renewable plus storage projects and received a robust response with over 50 offers.

• The RFO resulted in ~1.5 million MWh (545 MW) of contracted generation to date:

  - 11 shortlisted projects
  - 8 exclusive negotiations
  - 5 executed PPAs
  - 1 PPA under negotiation

• The Distributed Track of the 2019 Clean Energy RFO has two projects still in exclusive negotiations.

• CPA was successful in meeting CPA’s IRP Procurement Track compliance target via its 2019 Reliability RFO. One project remains in negotiations.
Lessons Learned

- CPA’s 6 evaluation criteria\(^1\) were effective in guiding project selection
- CPA’s shortlist size was appropriate for the 1-2 million MWh procurement target
- The selection process was effective and will be used in the 2020 RFO. This process will include an RFO review team with Energy Committee member participation requested
- CPA received limited responses from local projects in the Utility Scale track, but many in the 2019 Reliability RFO; CPA expects most local projects in the 2020 Clean Energy RFO to come from standalone storage
- Distributed Track projects were 3x – 5x more expensive than Utility Scale Track projects and did not achieve as many community benefits as those contemplated in other CPA initiatives (i.e. Community Solar, Clean Back-Up Power, 100% Green Discount Program)

\(^1\) See Appendix for a description of CPA’s RFO evaluation criteria
Negotiation status: projects still under negotiation

### 2019 Clean Energy RFO:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COD</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Gen MW</th>
<th>Storage MW</th>
<th>Enviro Steward</th>
<th>Benefits to DACS</th>
<th>Workforce Dev</th>
<th>Project Location</th>
<th>Dev Risk Score</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Solar + Storage</td>
<td>51-100</td>
<td>0-49</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unin. LA county and Rosamond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2018 Clean Energy RFO:

| Z   | Solar + Storage           | 101-200 | 50-100     | High           | High            | High          | Medium           | High           | Daggett                      |

### 2019 Reliability RFO:

| A*  | Storage                   | 101-200 | Medium     | Neutral        | High            | Medium        | High             | High           | Blythe                       |

### 2019 Clean Energy RFO – Distributed Track:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COD</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>MW</th>
<th>Enviro Steward</th>
<th>Benefits to DACS</th>
<th>Dev Risk Score</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Rooftop solar</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Carson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rooftop solar</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Hawthorne</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Storage attached to currently contracted Arlington PV facility*
Long-Term Procurement Requirement and Project Timing

• SB 350 requires CPA to secure at least 65% of its RPS obligations through long-term (10+ years) contracts over the 2021-2024 compliance period

• Projects with earlier online dates will help CPA meet its compliance requirement early and reduce compliance risk

• Meeting compliance requirements in the near term allows CPA to become more selective over time in terms of price, location, innovation

• Opportunities to contract with new-build resources with 2021 and 2022 online dates are limited due to development timelines
Portfolio Diversity is Critical

- CPA’s current portfolio is largely solar; therefore resource diversity will be an important consideration in portfolio selection.
### SB 350 Compliance

- CPA requires additional procurement to meet its SB 350 requirements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2021-2024</th>
<th>2025-2027</th>
<th>2028-2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>State Mandated RPS per Compliance Period - % of Retail Sales</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>State Mandated % of Mandated RPS (Row #1) to be Contracted Under RPS LT Contracts</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CPA’s LT RPS Mandate = Row #2 * Row #1</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RPS Achieved by CPA with Existing LT Contracts</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Open Position relative to State Mandate (Row 3,4) +Above/ (-) Short</td>
<td>-6.4%</td>
<td>-4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RPS Achieved by CPA with Existing LT Contracts and Projects In Negotiation</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Open Position relative to State Mandate (Row 3,6) +Above/ (-) Short</td>
<td>-4.3%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IRP Procurement

- SB350 procurement is a floor, not a ceiling; procurement targets should consider IRP planning assumptions

Planned Renewable Generation Procurement (GWh)

- Existing LT Contracts
- SB 350 Compliance Need
- Addl Planned IRP Procurement
2020 RFO Procurement Targets

• Portfolio selection with a focus on near-term online dates and diverse technologies

• Total RPS procurement target of 1,500-2,000 GWh in the 2021-2025 period to meet SB 350 compliance as well as meet other procurement objectives, including IRP

• As in previous RFOs, actual shortlisting target will be multiple times this procurement target to account for project and negotiation failure rates

• Additional storage procurement is also expected in this RFO
2020 Clean Energy RFO

• CPA plans to launch its 2020 Clean Energy RFO in October
• RPS Generation, RPS plus Storage, Standalone Storage, from 5 MW – 300 MW, will all be considered
  – Storage will be requested in 4-hour and long duration options (8-hours or greater)
  – Firmed/shaped products will also be eligible
• CPA will consider projects with an online date through 12/31/2025, however preference will be for online dates prior to 12/31/2023 for SB 350 compliance
• Proposals will be evaluated on the same six criteria as in the 2018 and 2019 RFOs (see Appendix)
• RFO Review Team, including Board Directors, will meet early January 2021 to recommend a shortlist for Energy Committee consideration
# 2020 Clean Energy RFO Schedule

*Schedule may be subject to change*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid-October</td>
<td>Launch 2020 Clean Energy RFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-November</td>
<td>Bids Due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early January</td>
<td>RFO Review Team reviews offers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late January</td>
<td><strong>Energy Committee Shortlist Consideration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January-February</td>
<td>Exclusivity Agreements Due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February – July</td>
<td>PPA Negotiations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-August</td>
<td>Board Consideration of PPAs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Other Local Procurement Efforts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>100% Green Discount Program and Community Solar RFO</strong></td>
<td>• Procurement of new build projects in DACs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 20% bill discount for eligible customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Above market costs subsidized by CPUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Launch pending CPUC approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clean Back-Up for Essential Facilities Program</strong></td>
<td>• Storage or solar + storage for critical facilities in each member agency jurisdiction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Member RFI completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Engineer consultant selection underway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behind the Meter Request for Proposals (RFP)</strong></td>
<td>• Energy and/or capacity purchases from aggregated customer resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Evaluating product and contract structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Collecting lessons learned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The 100% Green Discount / Community Solar RFO will include an RFO review team with Energy Committee Board member participation requested
APPENDIX
Evaluation Criteria

- Individual projects will receive a rank for each of the following criteria:

- $ Value
- Development Score
- Environmental Stewardship
- Workforce Development
- Project Location
- Benefits to DACs
Development Score

*Projects will be ranked from highest to lowest score (0-100)*

- The development score is a composite score based on a number of factors impacting project risk:
  - Site control
  - Interconnection status
  - Environmental screens
  - Land use and permits
  - Project financing
  - Developer Experience
Environmental Stewardship

Projects are ranked high, medium, neutral, and low based on the following prioritization:

**HIGH**
- Demonstrates multiple benefits (provides additional societal, health, economic, water saving, or environmental benefits beyond the climate and GHG reduction benefits of renewable energy)

**MEDIUM**
- Located in an area designated as a preferred renewable energy zone and received required land use entitlement permits

**NEUTRAL**
- Project does not demonstrate either preference or avoidance criteria

**LOW**
- Project is located in a high conflict area
Workforce Development

Projects will be ranked high, medium, and low based on the following prioritization:

**HIGH**
- The project will use targeted-hire, union labor, or multi-trade project labor agreements (including requirements for state-apprenticeship graduates)

**MEDIUM**
- The project does not have a labor agreement, but can demonstrate prevailing wage, union labor, and targeted hire commitments

**LOW**
- The project does not demonstrate prevailing wage, union labor, and targeted hire commitments
Project Location

Projects will be ranked high, medium, and low based on the following prioritization:

- **HIGH**
  - In Los Angeles and Ventura counties

- **MEDIUM**
  - Other counties within California

- **LOW**
  - Out of state projects
Benefits to Disadvantaged Communities (DACs)

Projects will be ranked high, medium, and low based on the following prioritization:

- **HIGH**
  - Located within a DAC and demonstrates DAC workforce and community development benefits

- **MEDIUM**
  - Project not located within a DAC but can demonstrate DAC benefits and has completed community outreach

- **NEUTRAL**
  - Project does not demonstrate DAC benefits

- **LOW**
  - Project is inconsistent with community priorities